## PROCEEDINGS OF THE TWENTY-SIXTH ANNUAL KECK RESEARCH SYMPOSIUM IN GEOLOGY

April 2013 Pomona College, Claremont, CA

Dr. Robert J. Varga, Editor Director, Keck Geology Consortium Pomona College

> Dr. Jade Star Lackey Symposium Convener Pomona College

Carol Morgan Keck Geology Consortium Administrative Assistant

Christina Kelly Symposium Proceedings Layout & Design Office of Communication & Marketing Scripps College

Keck Geology Consortium Geology Department, Pomona College 185 E. 6<sup>th</sup> St., Claremont, CA 91711 (909) 607-0651, keckgeology@pomona.edu, keckgeology.org

ISSN# 1528-7491

The Consortium Colleges

The National Science Foundation

ExxonMobil Corporation

## KECK GEOLOGY CONSORTIUM PROCEEDINGS OF THE TWENTY-SIXTH ANNUAL KECK RESEARCH SYMPOSIUM IN GEOLOGY ISSN# 1528-7491

#### April 2013

Robert J. Varga Editor and Keck Director Pomona College Keck Geology Consortium Pomona College 185 E 6<sup>th</sup> St., Claremont, CA 91711 Christina Kelly Proceedings Layout & Design Scripps College

**Keck Geology Consortium Member Institutions:** 

Amherst College, Beloit College, Carleton College, Colgate University, The College of Wooster, The Colorado College, Franklin & Marshall College, Macalester College, Mt Holyoke College, Oberlin College, Pomona College, Smith College, Trinity University, Union College, Washington & Lee University, Wesleyan University, Whitman College, Williams College

### **2012-2013 PROJECTS**

## TECTONIC EVOLUTION OF THE CHUGACH-PRINCE WILLIAM TERRANE: SHUMAGIN ISLANDS AND KENAI PENINSULA, ALASKA

Faculty: JOHN GARVER, Union College, CAMERON DAVIDSON, Carleton College Students: MICHAEL DELUCA, Union College, NICOLAS ROBERTS, Carleton College, ROSE PETTIETTE, Washington & Lee University, ALEXANDER SHORT, University of Minnesota-Morris, CARLY ROE, Lawrence University.

#### LAVAS AND INTERBEDS OF THE POWDER RIVER VOLCANIC FIELD, NORTHEASTERN OREGON Faculty: *NICHOLAS BADER & KIRSTEN NICOLAYSEN*, Whitman College.

Students: *REBECCA RODD*, University of California-Davis, *RICARDO LOPEZ-MALDONADO*, University of Idaho, *JOHNNY RAY HINOJOSA*, Williams College, *ANNA MUDD*, The College of Wooster, *LUKE FERGUSON*, Pomona College, *MICHAEL BAEZ*, California State University-Fullerton.

# BIOGEOCHEMICAL CARBON CYCLING IN FLUVIAL SYSTEMS FROM BIVALVE SHELL GEOCHEMISTRY - USING THE MODERN TO UNDERSTAND THE PAST

Faculty: DAVID GILLIKIN, Union College, DAVID GOODWIN, Denison University. Students: ROXANNE BANKER, Denison University, MAX DAVIDSON, Union College, GARY LINKEVICH, Vassar College, HANNAH SMITH, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, NICOLLETTE BUCKLE, Oberlin College, SCOTT EVANS, State University of New York-Geneseo.

## METASOMATISM AND THE TECTONICS OF SANTA CATALINA ISLAND: TESTING NEW AND OLD MODELS

Faculty: ZEB PAGE, Oberlin College, EMILY WALSH, Cornell College.

Students: *MICHAEL BARTHELMES*, Cornell College, *WILLIAM TOWBIN*, Oberlin College, *ABIGAIL SEYMOUR*, Colorado College, *MITCHELL AWALT*, Macalester College, *FREDY*, *AGUIRRE*, Franklin & Marshall College, *LAUREN MAGLIOZZI*, Smith College.

# GEOLOGY, PALEOECOLOGY AND PALEOCLIMATE OF THE PALEOGENE CHICKALOON FORMATION, MATANUSKA VALLEY, ALASKA

Faculty: *CHRIS WILLIAMS*, Franklin & Marshall College, *DAVID SUNDERLIN*, Lafayette College. Students: *MOLLY REYNOLDS*, Franklin & Marshall College, *JACLYN WHITE*, Lafayette College, *LORELEI CURTIN*, Pomona College, *TYLER SCHUETZ*, Carleton College, *BRENNAN O'CONNELL*, Colorado College, *SHAWN MOORE*, Smith College.

#### CRETACEOUS TO MIOCENE EVOLUTION OF THE NORTHERN SNAKE RANGE METAMORPHIC CORE COMPLEX: ASSESSING THE SLIP HISTORY OF THE SNAKE RANGE DECOLLEMENT AND SPATIAL VARIATIONS IN THE TIMING OF FOOTWALL DEFORMATION, METAMORPHISM, AND EXHUMATION

Faculty: *MARTIN WONG*, Colgate University, *PHIL GANS*, University of California-Santa Barbara. Students: *EVAN MONROE*, University of California-Santa Barbara, *CASEY PORTELA*, Colgate University, *JOSEPH WILCH*, The College of Wooster, *JORY LERBACK*, Franklin & Marshall College, *WILLIAM BENDER*, Whitman College, *JORDAN ELMIGER*, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.

#### THE ROLE OF GROUNDWATER IN THE FLOODING HISTORY OF CLEAR LAKE, WISCONSIN

Faculty: SUSAN SWANSON, Beloit College, JUSTIN DODD, Northern Illinois University. Students: NICHOLAS ICKS, Northern Illinois University, GRACE GRAHAM, Beloit College, NOA KARR, Mt. Holyoke College, CAROLINE LABRIOLA, Colgate University, BARRY CHEW, California State University-San Bernardino, LEIGH HONOROF, Mt. Holyoke College.

## PALEOENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS AND EARLY DIAGENESIS OF MARL LAKE SEDIMENTS: A CASE STUDY FROM LOUGH CARRA, WESTERN IRELAND

Faculty: ANNA MARTINI, Amherst College, TIM KU, Wesleyan University. Students: SARAH SHACKLETON, Wesleyan University, LAURA HAYNES, Pomona College, ALYSSA DONOVAN, Amherst College.

## INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES IN THE CRITICAL ZONE, BOULDER CREEK CATCHMENT, FRONT RANGE, COLORADO

Faculty: David Dethier, Williams College, Will Ouimet, U. Connecticut. Students: CLAUDIA CORONA, Williams College, HANNAH MONDRACH, University of Connecticut, ANNETTE PATTON, Whitman College, BENJAMIN PURINTON, Wesleyan University, TIMOTHY BOATENG, Amherst College, CHRISTOPHER HALCSIK, Beloit College.

> Funding Provided by: Keck Geology Consortium Member Institutions The National Science Foundation Grant NSF-REU 1062720 ExxonMobil Corporation

## Keck Geology Consortium: Projects 2012-2013 Short Contributions— Catalina Island Project

# METASOMATISM AND THE TECTONICS OF SANTA CATALINA ISLAND: TESTING NEW AND OLD MODELS

Faculty: ZEB PAGE, Oberlin College, EMILY WALSH, Cornell College.

#### EVOLUTION OF THE CATALINA SCHIST: INSIGHTS FROM EPIDOTE-BLUESCHIST

MICHAEL D.C. BARTHELMES, Cornell College Research Advisors: Zeb Page, Emily O. Walsh

# THERMOBAROMETRIC MODELING OF THE CATALINA AMPHIBOLITE UNIT: IMPLICATIONS FOR TECTONIC AND METASOMATIC MODELS

HENRY TOWBIN, Oberlin College Research Advisor: F. Zeb Page

## PETROLOGY AND GEOTHERMOMETRY OF GARNET AMPHIBOLITE BLOCKS, SANTA CATALINA ISLAND, CA

ABIGAIL SEYMOUR, Colorado College Research Advisor: Christine Siddoway

#### PETROLOGY AND PSEUDOSECTION MODELING OF A GARNET BLUESCHIST BLOCK-IN-MELANGE, SANTA CATALINA ISLAND, CA

MITCHELL AWALT, Macalester College Research Advisor: Karl Wirth

#### SANTA CATALINA ISLAND: GARNET QUARTZITE'S FROM THE CATALINA SCHIST IN THE VALLEY OF OLLAS FREDY AGUIRRE, Franklin and Marshall Research Advisor: Stanley Mertzman

#### GEOCHEMICAL EVIDENCE FOR THE ORIGIN OF MINERALOGICAL RINDS SURROUNDING GARNET-AMPHIBOLITE BLOCKS IN A SUBDUCTION ZONE MÉLANGE, CATALINA ISLAND, CALIFORNIA

LAUREN MAGLIOZZI, Smith College Research Advisor: John B. Brady

> Keck Geology Consortium Pomona College 185 E. 6<sup>th</sup> St., Claremont, CA 91711 Keckgeology.org



## Learning Science Through Research Published by Keck Geology Consortium

Short Contriubtions 26th Annual Keck Symposium Volume 6 April, 2013 ISBN: 1528-7491

## PETROLOGY AND GEOTHERMOMETRY OF GARNET AMPHIBOLITE BLOCKS, SANTA CATALINA ISLAND, CA

ABIGAIL SEYMOUR, Colorado College Research Advisor: Christine Siddoway

## INTRODUCTION

Despite its importance to our understanding of fluid flow in subduction zones, (e.g. Sorensen and Grossman, 1989, Sorensen and Barton, 1987, and Sorensen, 1988) the thermobarometry of the tectonic melange, consisting of garnetiferous mafic gneiss blocks within a matrix of metasedimentary and metaultramafic rock, of the Santa Catalina Island subduction complex has been little studied in the last 25 years. Study of the microstructural relationships and geothermometry of the garnet-rich gneisses will yield information about processes and conditions for high temperature metamorphism within subduction systems that are poorly understood.

The Catalina Complex differs from typical subduction complexes in that there are amphibolite grade gneisses, produced by high temperature metamorphism, in the subduction melange. Questions exist about the origin of the high temperature rocks in a subduction zone, when such zones are normally characterized by high pressure-low temperature assemblages. Furthermore, the juxtaposition of higher temperature, older gneisses upon colder, younger metamorphic assemblages counters expectations. The amphibolite unit experienced T = 580-620 °C and P = 8.5-12.5 kbar for metamorphism (Sorensen and Barton, 1987) at 120-115 Ma, based on detrital zircon U-Pb thermochronology (Grove et al., 2008).

The three types of garnet amphibolite blocks are 1) non-migmatitic, clinopyroxene-bearing gneiss that resemble mid-ocean ridge basalt; 2) gneiss with alteration rinds, or blackwall, suggesting local chemical alteration; and 3) gneiss containing leucocratic segregations, described as migmatitic, enriched in trace elements (Sorensen and Grossman, 1989). This enrichment is a result of infiltration by aqueous fluid that could have introduced Na-Al silicate and/ or induced partial melting (Sorensen, 1988, and Sorensen & Grossman, 1989). The protoliths for the matrix of hornblende-zoisite schist and serpentinite is harzburgite and dunite (Platt, 1975), whereas the garnet gneiss blocks are derived from a tholeiitic protolith (Sorensen and Barton, 1987); thus, the mineral makeup, petrogenesis, and geochemistry of the garnet gneiss blocks differ between blocks and contrast starkly with the surrounding serpentinite matrix.

My research examines the petrography, garnet characteristics, mineral compositions, and accessory phases of two mafic gneiss blocks affected by high temperature metamorphism. Each contains information about physical and chemical conditions that existed during subduction. Objectives are to gain insight into 1) the nature of HT metamorphism in the subduction setting, and 2) the metamorphic history of disparate blocks within the Catalina serpentinite melange. Physical conditions are determined using Zr-in-rutile geothermometry.

### METHODS

Fieldwork consisted of sampling plagioclase-bearing garnet hornblende blocks produced by partial melting (Sorensen, 1988). At Oberlin College, select samples were made into polished thin sections, then used for petrographic and SEM analysis at Colorado College. Identification of mineral phases, observations of mineral relationships and microstructures, yielded information about equilibrium/disequilibrium relationships and evidence of the order of events. At the University of Wyoming, a JEOL electron microprobe (EMP) was used to make compositional maps of major element distribution, to evaluate garnet zoning. Guided by the compositional maps, quantitative mineral analysis was performed upon the major phases of garnet, amphibole, and feldspar, and upon mineral inclusions. At Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Zr concentration (ppm) of rutile, in polished sections, was analysed using a Cameca SX 100 EMP. The Zr-in-rutile geothermometer (Watson et al., 2006; Eq. 1) was used to calculate peak metamorphic temperatures for the two samples.

$$T (^{\circ}C) = \frac{4470}{7.36 - \log(Zr)} - 273$$

Equation 1

## SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS

### SAMPLE 712C-1

Sample 712C-1 is foliated garnet amphibole gneiss. It contains garnet, amphibole, and rutile. Garnet (30%) forms euhedral, inclusion rich porphyroblasts with diameters of 0.35-2.5 mm. The groundmass is euhedral amphibole (67%) that has a shape preferred orientation and uniform length of 0.75 mm (Fig. 1D). Rutile (3%) is throughout the groundmass as anhedral and euhedral grains (0.05-0.2 mm). Apatite and monazite appear as small, rounded accessory grains.

Garnets have two to four zones denoted by the distribution and abundance of inclusions (Fig. 1 A-C). All garnet interiors are inclusion rich with numerous, small (~0.03 mm), sub-rounded inclusions. The outermost ~0.23 mm is inclusion free. There is no evident alignment of inclusions. Some garnets contain large ~0.2-0.25 mm round included grains in their centers. In some grains, a "ring" of elongated minerals that crystallized along a relict garnet border demarcates the inclusion-rich core and inclusion free rim.

Compositional maps created using the EMP show that garnet is compositionally zoned (Fig. 2A-D), with rims exhibiting increased Mg and decreased Ca compared to the interior garnet. Fe and Mn show no change throughout garnet.



Figure 1. Sample 712C-1 A) An example of the garnets that contain the two zones. The innermost zone contains small, isolated, sub-rounded inclusions. The outer zone is inclusion free. B) A garnet that presents three zones, with the innermost having three large inclusions; succeeded by an inclusion rich zone, then a zone that is inclusion free. C) A garnet with four zones discerned by the differences in inclusion distribution. This garnet shows a large inclusion in the core surrounded by a zone of small, sub-rounded inclusions. There is a thin zone of elongated minerals before the inclusion free border. D) Aligned amphibole that defines a dynamic fabric. E) Cracked amphiboles next to garnet show differential stress on the amphibole. F) Amphiboles truncated against garnet, with absence of wrapping foliation indicate that growth of garnet porphyroblasts occurred after amphibole formation and deformation. G) Rutile inclusions parallel to the garnet margin, suggesting that the precipitation of the plagioclase and amphibole was influenced by a relict garnet margin (A-F are Cross-polarized light and 5x magnification, G is Plain polarized light and 10x mag.)



Figure 2. Compositional Maps A-D for garnet, sample 712C-1. E-H for garnet, sample H2718D. A) Ca map, showing depletion in the rim. B) Fe map, spotty and does not show zoning. C) Mg, enriched near the rim. D) Mn, which is patchy and does not indicate zoning. E) Ca. F) Fe. G) Mg. H) Mn. All of the elements for sample H2718D are patchy and do not indicate zoning.

Truncation of aligned amphiboles against garnet indicates that garnet growth post-dated solid-state deformation that formed foliation (Fig. 1F). Bordering garnet, amphibole exhibits undulose extinction and cracking (Fig. 1E). From microstructure observation, the interpreted order of events is amphibole growth during tectonism with development of foliation under differential stress conditions. Subsequently, euhedral garnet formed. This is indicated by the lack of wrapping foliation around the garnets, which would form by an intensification of strain around the garnets. Inclusions in garnet, which were too small to identify optically, were identified by SEM/EDS as albite and epidote, with some rutile. Rarely there is a titanite, quartz, and amphibole. The albite and epidote form symplectite. Inclusions in the intermediate zone are 70% quartz, 17% amphibole, and 13% rutile. The inclusion phases, or elongated rutile alone, mimic the shape of an old grain boundary (Fig. 1G). Albite is only found as inclusions, and then only as feldspar-epidote symplectite, and is not present in the groundmass.

A brittle structure, evident as a network of cracks with distinct evidence of alteration, possibly oxidation, cuts through the sample. The cracks represent a possible fluid migration pathway.

### SAMPLE H2718D

Sample H2718D is garnet rutile gneiss lacking foliation. It contains garnet, amphibole, and rutile. Garnet (47%) porphyroblasts have a diameter of 0.5-3 mm and are sub- to anhedral. They form masses of coalesced grains (Fig. 3A). The groundmass is composed of amphibole (14%) and rutile (18%). The amphiboles are sub-euhedral to anhedral, with lengths of 0.1-0.5 mm. Rutile forms large, anhedral grains (~0.05-1 mm wide) distributed around the perimeter of garnet (Fig. 3B) and as small grains in the groundmass. The large rutiles are rimmed by titanite (Fig. 3D), but the smaller rutiles ordinarily lack titanite rims. There is a significant amount of bluish grey alteration material (21%), which forms a web-like net throughout the groundmass, fingers along fractures, into some of the garnets, and corresponds to altered garnet cores. Accessory phases are zircon, apatite, and monazite. Sparse chlorite appears as a retrograde mineral in association with rutile (Fig. 3C).

Garnets are coalesced garnets that show microstructures of impingement and moulding around each other. The garnets of sample H2718D are unzoned. No changes of distribution or size of the sparse inclusions are discernable; they are all isolated and tiny. The distribution of inclusions does not indicate a relict garnet border. Spot SEM analyses do not suggest compositional differences between garnet cores and rims. Symplectic textures are found in several locations in the sample (Fig. 3E). Two are encircled by garnet and one is in the middle of groundmass amphiboles. This texture indicates disequilibrium.

Compositional maps of garnet were obtained using the EMP (Fig. 2E-H). The garnets show a lack of compositional zoning in Ca, Fe, Mg, and Mn, in addition to the lack of zoning indicated by the



Figure 3. Photomicrographs of sample H2718D. A) Clump of coalesced garnets, with minor amphibole or alteration material in between the garnet perimeters. B) Rutile is located around the perimeter of garnet. C) Chlorite (retrograde) and symplectite. D) High relief, transparent rim of titanite surrounding rutile is common on the large rutile grains. E) Albite and epidote symplectite, a disequilibrium microstructure. (All images are Cross-polarized light, A + B are 5x magnification and C-E are 10x magnification.)

distribution of inclusions. This suggests that garnet in this sample is homogeneous.

Mineral phases that were too small to distinguish optically were identified by SEM/EDS. The symplectite is composed of albite and epidote, indicating that they are in disequilibrium. The alteration material is made up of albite and epidote symplectite, also suggesting disequilibrium of these phases. No quartz exists in the sample and feldspar is only present in disequilibrium textures.

A brittle structure goes through this sample, indicated by a microgranular texture. It appears to crosscut the rutile and alteration material seems to run along it. This fracture system could have allowed fluid to flow through, altering the composition of the surrounding minerals.

### RESULTS

### MINERAL CHEMISTRY

### Garnet

Garnet, amphibole, and plagioclase were analysed on the EMP. The garnet in samples 712C-1 and H2718D is dominantly almandine.

Sample 712C-1 shows elemental zoning of major elements between the garnets core, intermediate, and rim. Between the core and intermediate zone, Fe and Al decrease, although between the intermediate zone and the rim the Al increases very slightly but Fe remains the same. Mg increases near the rim, with a decrease in Ca and Mn.

Sample H2718D does not show variation in composition from core to rim, or between garnets located outside and within the brittle fracture. The core has lower Ca and the rim has lower Mn and Fe. However, other than those slight differences the garnet is chemically homogeneous.

### Amphibole

The amphibole in sample 712C-1 changes from pargasite inclusions in the intermediate zone to gedrite in the relict border to tschermakite in the groundmass. The major elements of amphibole inclusions do not smoothly increase or decrease from the interior of garnet to the outside; but rather vary sporadically. From the intermediate inclusions to the relict border inclusions Ti, Ca, and Na decrease and Mg, Fe, Mn, and Cl increase. But between the inclusions and the groundmass, Al decreases but Ti, Na, and K increase.

According to its major element composition, the groundmass amphibole in sample H2718D is tschermakite. In close proximity to garnet, the groundmass amphibole increases in Na, but amphibole inclusions were not analysed so comparison is impossible.

### Plagioclase

All of the plagioclase in samples 712C-1 and H2718D is albite. Along fractures in sample H2718D, the albite

<	712C-1	— ×		H2718D		>	
Core	Intermediate Rim	Core	Ir	ntermediate Rim	B	rittle Fx	
39.294	39.075	39.201	38.351	38.336	37.917	38.659	
5.399	4.687	6.578	4.071	4.029	4.072	3.831	
	Not Measured						
21.113	21.258	21.629	21.003	20.987	20.908	21.082	
30.347	29.028	28.186	27.321	26.948	25.443	27.289	
0.933	1.155	0.83	0.722	0.756	0.649	0.71	
Not Measured							
Not Measured							
4.771	6.024	4.766	6.731	7.006	7.671	7.04	
Not Measured							
101.857	101.227	101.19	98.199	98.062	96.66	98.611	
	Form	ıla based on 12	oxygens a	nd 8 cations			
3.0372	3.0363	3.0187	3.0563	3.0573	3.0554	3.0668	
0.622	0.5429	0.7551	0.4836	0.479	0.4891	0.453	
1.9236	1.947	1.9632	1.9729	1.9728	1.9858	1.9713	
1.9618	1.8864	1.8152	1.8209	1.7974	1.7146	1.8105	
0.0611	0.076	0.0542	0.0487	0.0511	0.0443	0.0477	
0.3952	0.5016	0.3933	0.5748	0.5987	0.6624	0.5984	
8.0009	7.9903	7.9998	7.9572	7.9563	7.9517	7.9478	
	Core 39.294 5.399 21.113 30.347 0.933 4.771 101.857 3.0372 0.622 1.9236 1.9236 1.9618 0.0611 0.3952 8.0009	712C-1           Core         Intermediate Rim           39.294         39.075           5.399         4.687           21.113         21.258           30.347         29.028           0.933         1.155           4.771         6.024           101.857         101.227           Formula         3.0372           3.0372         0.5429           1.9236         1.947           1.9518         1.8864           0.0611         0.076           0.3952         0.5016           8.0009         7.9903	T12C-1         Core         Intermediate         Rim         Core           39.294         39.075         39.201         5.399         4.687         6.578           5.399         4.687         6.578         Not I           21.113         21.258         21.629         30.347         29.028         28.186           0.933         1.155         0.83         Not I         Not I           4.771         6.024         4.766         Not I           101.857         101.227         101.19         Tornula based on 12           3.0372         3.0363         3.0187         0.622         0.5429           0.512         0.5429         0.7551         1.9236         1.947         1.9632           1.9236         1.947         1.9632         1.9618         1.8864         1.8152           0.0611         0.076         0.0542         0.3952         0.5016         0.3933           8.0009         7.9903         7.9998         1.9908         1.9908	Core         Intermediate         Rim         Core         Intermediate           39.294         39.075         39.201         38.351           5.399         4.687         6.578         4.071           5.399         4.687         6.578         4.071           0.1113         21.258         21.629         21.003           30.347         29.028         28.186         27.321           0.933         1.155         0.83         0.722           0.933         1.155         0.83         0.722           Not Measured         Not Measured         Not Measured           101.857         101.227         101.19         98.199           Formula based on 12 oxygens a           3.0372         3.0363         3.0187         3.0563           0.622         0.5429         0.7551         0.4836           1.9236         1.947         1.9632         1.9729           1.9518         1.8864         1.8152         1.8209           0.0611         0.076         0.0542         0.0487           0.3952         0.5016         0.3933         0.5748           8.0009         7.9903         7.9998         7.9572 <td>T12C-1         Kore         H2718D           Core         Intermediate         Rim         Core         Intermediate         Rim           39.294         39.075         39.201         38.351         38.336           5.399         4.687         6.578         4.071         4.029           Not Measured         Not Measured         Not Measured           21.113         21.258         21.629         21.003         20.987           30.347         29.028         28.186         27.321         26.948           0.933         1.155         0.83         0.722         0.756           Not Measured         Not Measured         Not Measured         Not Measured           101.857         101.227         101.19         98.199         98.062           Formula based on 12 oxygens and 8 cations         3.0372         3.0363         3.0187         3.0563         3.0573           0.622         0.5429         0.7551         0.4836         0.479           1.9236         1.947         1.9632         1.9729         1.9728           1.9518         1.8864         1.8152         1.8209         1.7974           0.0611         0.076         0.542         0.0487</td> <td>712C-1         Core         Intermediate         Rim         Core         Intermediate         Rim         Bit           39.294         39.075         39.201         38.351         38.336         37.917         5.399         4.687         6.578         4.071         4.029         4.072         Not Measured           21.113         21.258         21.629         21.003         20.987         20.908         30.347         29.028         28.186         27.321         26.948         25.443         0.933         1.155         0.83         0.722         0.756         0.649         Not Measured         Not State         Not State         Not Measured         Not State         Not Measured         Not Measured</td>	T12C-1         Kore         H2718D           Core         Intermediate         Rim         Core         Intermediate         Rim           39.294         39.075         39.201         38.351         38.336           5.399         4.687         6.578         4.071         4.029           Not Measured         Not Measured         Not Measured           21.113         21.258         21.629         21.003         20.987           30.347         29.028         28.186         27.321         26.948           0.933         1.155         0.83         0.722         0.756           Not Measured         Not Measured         Not Measured         Not Measured           101.857         101.227         101.19         98.199         98.062           Formula based on 12 oxygens and 8 cations         3.0372         3.0363         3.0187         3.0563         3.0573           0.622         0.5429         0.7551         0.4836         0.479           1.9236         1.947         1.9632         1.9729         1.9728           1.9518         1.8864         1.8152         1.8209         1.7974           0.0611         0.076         0.542         0.0487	712C-1         Core         Intermediate         Rim         Core         Intermediate         Rim         Bit           39.294         39.075         39.201         38.351         38.336         37.917         5.399         4.687         6.578         4.071         4.029         4.072         Not Measured           21.113         21.258         21.629         21.003         20.987         20.908         30.347         29.028         28.186         27.321         26.948         25.443         0.933         1.155         0.83         0.722         0.756         0.649         Not Measured         Not State         Not State         Not Measured         Not State         Not Measured         Not Measured	

Amphipole					
	<	712C-1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Н27	18D>
	Intermediate	Relict Border	Groundmass	Groundmass	Gm edge
SiO <sub>2</sub>	36.267	25.776	43.992	42.143	41.505
MgO	0.053	16.779	12.589	10.776	11.117
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0	0	2.153	1.755	1.856
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	28.874	21.628	15.489	15.143	14.827
FeO	5.364	22.891	10.429	12.461	12.682
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>			Not Measured	1	
MnO	0.1	0.231	0.08	0.068	0.029
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>			Not Measured	1	
K <sub>2</sub> O	0	0.006	0.289	0.316	0.313
CaO	24.011	0.063	10.964	11.221	11.248
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.253	0.019	0.7	0.91	0.919
Total	94.938	87.434	96.708	94.833	94.496
	Formula based on 23 oxygens				
Si	5.4014	4.4112	6.3996	6.3319	6.2861
Mg	0.0118	4.2806	2.7299	2.4135	2.5099
Na	0	0	0.6074	0.5113	0.5449
AI	5.0687	4.3628	2.6558	2.6817	2.6469
Fe	0.6681	3.2763	1.2688	1.5658	1.6064
Fe <sup>3+</sup>					
Mn	0.0127	0.0335	0.0098	0.0086	0.0037
Cr					
Ca	3.8317	0.0116	1.709	1.8065	1.8254
Ti	0.0283	0.0024	0.0766	0.1028	0.1047
Total	15.0362	16.4057	15.5266	15.5104	15.5885

Plagioclase					
	- 712	2C-1>	<	H2718D	>
	Core 1	Core 2	Inside grt	Groundmass	Brittle Fx
SiO <sub>2</sub>	67.807	66.534	66.744	67.636	62.965
MgO			Not Measured	1	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	11.479	11.349	11.303	11.902	10.001
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	20.097	20.359	19.649	19.331	21.171
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.061	0.045	0.082	0	0.529
MnO			Not Measured	1	
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>			Not Measured	1	
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.014	0.032	0.038	0.012	0.023
CaO	0.91	1.115	0.697	0.392	3.981
TiO <sub>2</sub>			Not Measured	1	
Total	100.368	99.434	98.513	99.273	98.67
		Formula	a based on 8 o	kygens	
Si	2.9589	2.9353	2.9656	2.9825	2.8309
Mg					
Na	0.9713	0.9709	0.9738	1.0177	0.8719
AI - +3	1.0337	1.0587	1.0291	1.0048	1.1219
Fe	0.002	0.0015	0.0027	0	0.0179
ivin Cr					
K	0.0008	0.0018	0.0021	0.0007	0.0013
Ca	0.0426	0.0527	0.0332	0.0185	0.1918
Ti					
Total	5.0094	5.0209	5.0066	5.0242	5.0357

*Table 1. Representative mineral make-ups of garnet, amphibole, and feldspar.* 

has higher Al and Ca, but lower Na compared to the standard groundmass albite.

#### ZR-IN-RUTILE GEOTHERMOMETRY

Because there is evidence of disequilibrium in the thin sections and indication of voluminous fluid movement in H2718D, conventional geothermometry cannot be applied to these Catalina garnet-gneiss block samples. Consequently, we used the new Zr-in-rutile thermometer for determination of the temperature of metamorphism during garnet growth and comparison between different settings.

Using the relation given in the methods, the zirconium content of rutile samples equates to metamorphic T's in vicinity of 500 °C. In sample 712C-1, rutile was analysed as inclusions in different garnet zones and euhedral and anhedral grains in the groundmass. Rutile grains within garnet have Zr concentrations and temperatures ranging from 27 to 32 ppm and 481  $\pm$  5 °C to 489  $\pm$  4 °C. Grains do not show a significant temperature difference between the garnet zones. Rutile grains within the groundmass have average Zr concentrations and temperature of 40 ppm and 502  $\pm$  3 °C. Euhedral and anhedral rutile grains in the groundmass were analysed and compared, but no difference is discernable.

In sample H2718D rutile inclusions, around the perimeter of garnet, within the brittle fracture, and in the groundmass were analysed. Many of the large rutile grains have titanite rims, and were found to have temperatures ~30 °C lower than compared to rutile lacking titanite rims in the same setting. Because titanite is thought to affect the Zr concentration in rutile (Kapp et al., 2009), rutile grains with titanite rims were excluded from data analysis. Rutile grains within garnet have an average Zr concentration of 33 ppm and temperature of  $490 \pm 8$  °C. Grains that are around the perimeter of garnet have an average Zr concentration of 46 ppm and temperature of  $512 \pm 2$ °C. Grains within the groundmass have an average Zr concentration of 50 ppm and temperature of  $516 \pm 1$ °C. Grains within the brittle fracture system have an average Zr concentration of 33 ppm and temperature of  $488 \pm 8$  °C. For all analyses, calculated temperature uncertainties are better than  $\pm$  10 °C (Table 2). Therefore, the garnet interior temperatures are lower

Sample	Location	No. of spots	Ave Zr ppm	Ave St. Dev.	Ave T (°C)	St. Error
712C-1	Core	10	31.66	6.90	488.83	3.88
	Intermediate	7	30.98	9.68	486.09	7.85
	Relict Border	11	27.69	6.86	480.80	4.68
	Groundmass	15	39.74	6.74	502.23	2.68
H2718D	Inclusions	8	33.34	11.58	489.76	7.85
	Grt Border	2	46.22	1.99	511.85	1.83
	Groundmass	6	49.53	2.24	516.00	1.13
	Brittle Fx	9	33.07	13.04	488.40	8.33

Table 2. Zr-in-rutile concentrations and calibrated temperatures.

then those on the perimeter and in the groundmass, suggesting that garnet grew during increasing metamorphic temperatures.

### DISCUSSION

Samples 712C-1 and H2718D, from separate gneiss blocks within melange, show differences in their composition and metamorphic history. Sample 712C-1 exhibits changes in major elements and inclusion phases from core to rim in garnet, indicating compositional changes during garnet growth. Mg increasing towards the rim indicates increasing temperature during garnet growth (Sorensen, 1988). The rutile in the groundmass is small, euhedral, and anhedral.

Sample H2718D has compositionally homogenous garnet and no compositional variation in inclusion phases, suggesting that the source block did not undergo significant composition alteration during garnet growth. Rutile formed small inclusions within garnet and immense grains around the perimeter of garnet.

Sample H2718D has an oddly large presence of rutile for a metabasalt. It indicates that voluminous fluid moved through the rock, transporting titanium from the serpentinite into the blocks, where it formed rutile. The absence of rutile between coalesced garnets indicates that the fluid movement occured after garnet formation.

Zack et al. (2004) analysed Zr-in-rutile for three clinopyroxene bearing garnet amphibolite blocks from Catalina Island. Their temperatures range from 764 to 800 °C, much higher than the 480 to 516 °C range of temperatures from these samples. Zr-in-rutile temperatures for rutile inclusions provide minimum temperature for growth of the surrounding garnet (Spear et al., 2006). Zr concentrations in rutile inclusions suggest that garnet growth in sample 712C-1 occured at temperatures of 480 °C. The highest rutile temperatures, of around 502 °C, occur in the groundmass, indicating temperature was increasing during garnet growth.

Conditions for sample H2718D were similar, according to rutile temperatures, of ~490 °C within garnet and ~516 °C in the ground mass. The sample records a slight temperature increase during garnet growth.

Assuming rutile formed at P = ~ 1.0-1.5 GPa and correlating with measured temperatures of rutile formation, an instantaneous geothermal gradient can be calculated for a point on the rock's P-T path (Spear et al., 2006). Using minimum rutile formation pressure (1.0 GPa) and temperature (480 °C) the geothermal gradient is calculated as ~14 °C/km. This is a relatively shallow gradient for a subduction complex, suggesting slow or shallow subduction. Assuming metamorphic peak conditions occurred at 515 °C and 1.5 GPa, the P-T path slope would be 10.3 °C/km.

These calculations support Grove et al.'s (2008) suggestion that the amphibolite unit formed from being underthrust beneath the Peninsular Ranges batholith, which provided the heat for high-grade metamorphism. If the batholith had partially cooled, it could explain why these two samples are ~100-200 °C cooler than other temperatures calculated for the unit. They also believe accretion of the Catalina Schist was the beginning of subduction shallowing (Grove et al. 2008), supported by the low geothermal gradient calculated from these samples.

My findings indicate that the two gneiss blocks studied had distinct protoliths and metamorphic histories, suggesting that melange blocks within the upper tectonic unit of Catalina Island derived from different locations within a subduction zone. Furthermore, calculated temperatures for metamorphism would have only been sufficient to cause partial melting in the presence of fluids and are lower than temperatures determined by previous researchers. In the future, the Titanite-Rutile geobarometer could be applied to sample H2718D for more accurate determination of metamorphic pressures (Kapp et al., 2009).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Christine Siddoway and F. Zeb Page for their support and help in my research and writing. I gratefully acknowledge Susan Swapp at University of Wyoming and Dan Ruscitto at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute for assisting me with microprobe analysis.

## REFERENCES

- Grove, M., Bebout, G.E., Jacobson, C.E., Barth, A.P., Kimbrough, D.L., King, R.L., Zou, H., Lovera, O.M., Mahoney, B.J., Gehrels, and G.E., 2008, The Catalina Schist: Evidence for middle Cretaceaous subduction erosion of southwestern North America, *in* Draut, A.E., Clift, P.D., and Scholl, D.W., eds., Forthation and Applications of the Sedimentary Record in Arc Collision Zones: Geological Society of America Special Paper 436, p.335-361.
- Kapp, P., Manning, E., and Tropper, P., 2009, Phaseequilibrium constraints on titanite and rutile activities in mafic epidote amphibolites and geobarometry using titanite-rutile equilibria: Journal of Metamorphic Geology, v. 27, p. 509-521.
- Platt, J.P., 1975, Metamorphic and deformational processes in the Franciscan Complex, California: Some insights from the Catalina Schist terrane: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 86, p. 1337-1347.
- Sorensen, S.S., 1988, Petrology of amphibolite-facies mafic and ultramafic rocks from the Catalina Schist, southern California: metasomatism and migmatization in the subduction zone metamorphic setting: Journal of Metamorphic Geology, v. 6, p. 405-435.
- Sorensen, S.S., and Barton, M.D., 1987, Metasomatism and partial melting in a subduction complex Catalina Schist, southern California: Geology, v. 15, p. 115-118.
- Sorensen S.S., and Grossman, J.N., 1989, Enrichment of trace elements in garnet amphibolites from a paleo-subduction zone: Catalina Schist, southern California: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 53, p. 3155-3177.

Spear, F.S., Wark, D.A., Cheney, J.T., Schumacher, J.C., and Watson, E.B., 2006, Zr-in-rutile thermometry in blueschists from Sifnos, Greece: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 152, p. 375-385.

- Watson, E.B., Wark, D.A., and Thomas, J.B, 2006, Crystallization thermometers for zircon and rutile: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 151, p. 413-433.
- Zach, T., Moraes, R., and Kronz, A., 2004, Temperature dependence of Zr in rutile: empirical calibration of a rutile thermometer: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 148, p. 471-488.