Proposal Guidelines for
Keck Geology Consortium Research Experiences for Undergraduates
2023-2024 Programs

The programs described below reflect the recent NSF award to fund the Keck Geology Consortium REU through 2026. While retaining much of the historical structure of Keck projects, there are important differences, so please read the guidelines carefully.

I. Background

The research programs proposed for the 2022-2026 funding cycle include 3-5 Gateway projects (annually) for rising sophomores, and 1-3 Advanced Research projects (annually) for rising seniors (Figure 1). Both programs include common components (research, analytical and professional development) that have differing goals and activities appropriate to the students in each program.

The Gateway Program for beginning students, with a goal of attracting students from underrepresented minority groups, focuses on exploration of the discipline and its intersections with socially relevant issues. Research projects in this program are designed to appeal to beginning students, especially those from diverse backgrounds, to introduce them to the breadth and excitement of the discipline through authentic research experiences, to deepen their understanding of the nature of science, to further develop their research skills and science identity, and to socialize them into a research community of practice.
Research projects in the Advanced Research Program include a collaborative summer field or laboratory project followed by an entire academic year of deeper questioning, data collection, analysis, and dissemination (Figure 1).

II. Research Project Details

Gateway Projects: The ideal Gateway project is designed for nine students and two faculty directors, but we will also consider four and five student projects with one faculty director for each project. Near-peer mentors (see below) are included in the student counts. In addition to research, a typical Gateway project will involve a mix of hands-on activities, training, and mini-lectures by project directors and perhaps a visiting scientist to help introduce students to the breadth of the geosciences and to help develop the knowledge and skills needed to be successful during the five-week research project.

- 5 weeks summer
- Participants are primarily rising sophomores and relatively new to the geosciences
- Emphasis on development science identity and sense of belonging among participants
- Project Directors are typically assisted by a near-peer mentor (rising junior/senior)
- Students attend a professional conference during the following year
- Poster presentations published in the *Proceedings of the Keck Geology Consortium*

Advanced Research Projects: Advanced Research projects are typically designed for four-students (with one faculty director) or nine-students (with two faculty directors). These projects involve students in a collaborative four-week summer field and/or laboratory experience, followed by mentored independent work at their home campuses during the following academic year.

- 4 weeks summer
- Emphasis is on rising seniors engaged in senior capstone research
- Academic-year research is co-mentored at home institutions with campus advisor
- Students attend a professional conference during the following academic year
- Short contributions are published in the *Proceedings of the Keck Geology Consortium*

The Analytical Skills Component supports and supplements both types of Consortium projects (Gateway and Advanced). The goals of the analytical component are two-fold: 1) to train undergraduate students in cutting-edge analytical techniques and instrumentation, and 2) to enhance student research experiences and project science goals using analytical data. Project directors can request up to $500 per student for analytical work. Preference will be given to projects that make use of services provided by established NSF-supported user facilities such as Laserchron, LacCore, Institute for Rock Magnetism, etc.

The Professional Development component is designed to support students in both Consortium programs (Gateway and Advanced). The goals of this program are to help undergraduate students deepen their professional knowledge and skills, promote the development of a science identity, knowledge of the profession, and to help clarify their educational and career plans through participation in a professional meeting. Project directors and participants travel to a regional or national conference, meet to discuss their project results, present their findings, and participate in other professional activities. Project directors will also facilitate professional development of their students at the meeting.
III. Proposal Format and Contents

Proposals for research projects should include the following:

- **Project Title**: name, institution, email for each
- **Project Directors**: name, institution, email for each
- **Tentative Field/Laboratory Dates**
- **Number of Students** (including near-peer mentors)
- **Total Project Budget Request**
- **Project Description**: brief introduction of goals and significance of the project
- **Student Learning Outcomes**: including scientific, professional, and developmental
- **Potential student projects** (e.g. scientific questions and potential students on each)
- **Project Logistics**: number of students, approximate project dates and location(s) for fieldwork, lab work, and conference travel, logistical and safety concerns (including precautions and alternative formats in response to COVID-19 if relevant), expectations for students (e.g. remote camping, food flexibility, gear, physical ability). Please give a brief description of the faculty committed to or interested in working on the project and their areas of expertise.
- **Analytical Components**: If your project budget includes funding for analytical work (see Analytical Component above), please include a statement of the type(s) of data you plan to collect, planned analytical facility, and relevance to project.
- **Professional Development Component**: Please include a description of the Professional meeting(s) that would be appropriate for your project and participants, its relevance to the project goals and student professional development, and ideas for planned/facilitated activities by the project at the meeting.
- **Budget**: Use the guidelines below (Table 1) and on the online budget planning worksheet, keeping an eye on the bottom dollar amount for the project size you propose. Participant stipends should be included in the project budget, but will be paid directly by the Keck office; other project costs will be reimbursed from sub-contracts with the home institutions of Project Directors. Participant support costs (travel to site, travel at site, room and board) may be reallocated as long as the final budget does not change from the maximum amount indicated. If matching funds are available for projects that are more expensive than the budget limit, please indicate the source and use of those funds. Please justify requests funds to support analytical costs in the Budget Justification, and include an "Analytical Component" line in your budget. Participation in a regional or national meeting is encouraged and Professional Development funds ($1225 per student) are available; please justify and include a "Professional Development" line in your budget. Note that the total amount of funding available for meetings is calculated on a per student basis; e.g., a nine-student project can spend up to $11,025 (9*$1225) for all project personnel.
- **Budget Justification**

IV. Submitting a Proposal

Proposals will be accepted at any time, however, proposals should submitted to the Consortium before the annual GSA meeting to be considered for funding for the following summer and academic year. While you do not need to have commitments from project faculty when you submit a proposal, it is best to have faculty in place if at all possible. Typical proposals are five to seven pages in length, including figures, tables, references, and budget (on a separate page).

Please send a copy of your proposal (as a PDF) to Cam Davidson (cdavidso@carleton.edu) AND Karl Wirth (wirth@macalester.edu).